Audit and Assurance Committee
28 July 2020
Agenda item: 10
Report no: 25/2020
Appendix 1

Governance Improvement Plan	
Remediation actions we have said we will take	

Key – Actions we need CI input on highlighted in red

Actions/comments/timescales in the external audit report highlighted in yellow

Action	Plan	Impact	Action owner	Due date	Management comments	Confidence of delivery within timescale
1. Appoint Head of Legal and Corporate Governance	Appoint a Head of Legal and Corporate Governance	SSSC will have a dedicated Head of Legal and Corporate Governance to oversee Governance matters	CE	Completed July 2018	Complete	
2. Appoint permanent senior role to oversee digital	Appoint a Head of Digital They should also review our IT support to oversee the delivery of the project and ensure there is continuity to oversee and manage the risks as	SSSC will have a head of Digital who will oversee the IT team	CE	Original timescale: 31 March 2019 Revised timescale: December 2019	Complete	Completed 6 January 2020

		they arise, recognising the reliance on ICT contract staff alongside the cost of using contractors.					
3.	Make payment to CI as a result of the split from ICT shared services	Sponsor departments are arranging the transfer of budgets between them to manage this cost.	CI will not be left in a financial detriment as a result of the ending of the ICT shared services.	Scottish Government	March 2019	Complete	
4.	Review of the budget for ongoing ICT project reviewed and reported to Resources and Council on an ongoing basis.	A distinct budget for ongoing ICT projects to be prepared. This should be reported distinctly from the organisational budget. It should detail expenditure across lifespan of project. It should be monitored and	It will be clearer what is being spent on business as usual and what is being spent on ICT projects. We can demonstrate that money is being used in the most appropriate way.	Head of SS/Head of Finance	Original timescale: January 2019 Revised timescale: March 2020	Each IT project has a distinct business case which has been submitted to sponsor department for approval before release of funds. Those funds will be managed through distinct budget lines relating to the individual projects and distinct from the SSSC's core operating budget.	Complete

	scrutinised by Resources and Council separate to the overarching organisational budget. It should reflect all known costs including one off costs.				We will build this approach into the budget setting process for financial year 2020/2021.	
	It should be reviewed in respect of changing ICT needs and overall project benefits anticipated to ensure decisions continue to represent value for money and are procured in the best manner.					
5. Revise the Service Level Agreements with the CI	Draft them to ensure a strong customer focus is at the heart of delivery of future shared services Establish a clear customer and	Services being provided will be clearer to everyone. The costs of shared services and how it is calculated will be clearer.	Head of LCG/Head of SS	Original timescale: February 2019 Revised timescale:	Management agreement approved at SSSC Council. CI Board on 4 February	While a number of significant steps have occurred, there is still a significant

service provider	Clearer escalation	January	Draft Specification		amount of
relationship	procedures. This should	2020	of services with		work to be
	result in them being		Head of SS. Work is		undertaken.
Review roles and	used more effectively, if		ongoing on the	•	This depends
responsibilities of	necessary.		draft specification.		on the
those delivering the	•				engagement
shared services	Better understanding of		Customer		of people
	performance indicators		workshops being		who are not
Remove	and how they will be		arranged for input		directly
requirement for	applied.		from recipients of		accountable
Senior colleagues to	аррисат		services have taken		to SSSC.
report separately to			place and OMT and		Competing
two organisations			EMT have fed into	_	priorities and
which risks creating			the specification.		capacity
conflicts of interest			the specification.		issues have
and confusion over			Culture workshops		made it
specific roles.			being		challenging
specific roles.			planned. Interim		to meet
Ask CIPFA to look			Director of Finance		timescales.
			and Resources for		However
at these as part of				•	
their governance of			SSSC has been		there is a
shared services			appointed.		real
review					commitment
			Meetings have been		from Senior
Drafted by CW and			arranged to take		Officers in
sent to KD in			this forward		both
November 2018.			between Directors		organisation
With CI for			of both		s to conclude
comments.			organisations and		this to plan.
			the Head of Shared	•	Engagement
LG and CW meeting			Services.		and
with PM, KD and					monitoring
GW.			The CE's have		at this level
			arranged meeting		is frequent.

					to look at some of the challenges. Further delays may result in reputational damage and the involvement of Sponsor departments. However we have received assurances from external auditors that they are currently satisfied with the attempts being made to complete this. Regular reports going to Audit and Assurance Committee and Council separately.	
6. Carry out a review of Governance arrangemen ts of Shared Services and Policy Forum	Appoint external body to review our Shared Services governance arrangements CIPFA appointed in March 2019	Improve our governance arrangements of Shared Services	Head of LCG	Completed October 2019	Complete	

	Report due in June 2019					
7. Carry out a Healthcheck Review of the Digital Transformat ion programme.	Appoint Scottish Government Programme and Project Management Centre of Expertise to carry out a Healthcheck review of the DT programme	Identify lessons learned for future projects. The recommendations will allow us to properly take forward the next stages of the programme.	CE	Completed March 2019	Complete.	
8. Explore the post of Programme Manager	Decide whether we should appoint an internal programme manager to make sure the governance of future projects within the organisation is well managed.	We will ensure that we manage projects in the most effective way from the outset in future. They will keep us right on the governance arrangements for that project such as: Right governance arrangements for particular projects Right people on programme boards Distinct from senior managers Allows proper scrutiny to take place	Director of S&P	Original timescale: August 2019 (subject to funding) Revised timescale: March 2020	Consultant carrying out a review of how projects are being run internally. Review complete and report attached A temporary PMO post and part time support post has been agreed as part of the 2020/21 budget in line with the report recommendations. Recruitment will commence in May	Complete

		Advise on the proper risk management procedures to put in place relating to projects.				
9. Carry out a best value review of the remaining shared services	Meet with CI CEO, Director of Corporate Services and Head of Shared Services to have an independent review of the best value of the remaining shared services.	This will provide independent advice on whether the remaining shared services continue to provide best value to both organisations.	CE	Original timescale: N/A (a timescale was not provided as it was dependent on the work carried out at action 5) Revised timescale: January 2020	CIPFA finalised their report suggesting that it is a cost effective service and suggested improvements. Complete	Complete
10.Review and update the shared services strategy and shared services risk register	Meet with CI to start this review. Review the existing strategy Identify areas for improvement	Having a clear shared services strategy will make sure that we have a shared understanding of what both organisations want to achieve from these arrangements.	Head of SS	Original timescale: June 2019 Revised timescale: January 2020	This forms part of the work set out at action 5 above.	Medium As for point 5.

	Draft and get agreement from both SSSC and CI. Put to Resources Committee for SSSC and CI Board for approval.	It should inform the governance and monitoring arrangements which will be followed to implement the strategy.				
Recommendat	ions from Digital Tra	ansformation Scotland H	ealthcheck			
11.Refresh the Digital Strategy (Essential)	Refresh the digital strategy in to include a delivery strategy for further ICT transformation activities and the aspirations for future developments.	We will be clearer about what we need from future ICT and how we will be best placed to deliver this.	Director of S&P	June 2019	Complete	
12. Prepare a retrospective Programme Business Case	Pull together a Programme business case for the whole programme of work.	Even though this will be retrospective, it will let us take stock of what we have achieved so far and that this is in line with our digital transformation strategy. It will allow us to see what areas we still need to work on and how we	Head of P&I	Original timescale: May 2019 Revised timescale: December 2019 for reporting on the retrospectiv	Timescales have slipped due to other priorities taking precedent and internal absences. We have relied on the benefits realisation plan to identify the	High Complete

		can plan for these improvements in future.		e business case. Further revised to report to Digital Sponsor group on 18 March 2020. Further report to Council in May 2020	achievements to date. Confidence is high that it will be reported by May 2020 Completed and approved by Digital Sponsor to be approved by Council Report went to Council in May 2020	
13.Adopt a more disciplined and structured project/prog ramme managemen t approach for future projects	As noted above we are looking at appointing a dedicated programme manager with relevant experience in this area. Take forward recommendations from this and the s22 report. Make sure that we properly apply and document the	We will make sure that we manage internal projects most effectively and that they are well governed.	Director of S&P	Original timescale: October 2019 Revised timescale: January 2020	Governance arrangements put in place to date are: Change Advisory Group Programme Board Digital Development Sponsor Group. These were reported to Council in June 2019.	High Complete

project	These are subject
management	to ongoing review
methodology that	of their
we are adopting.	effectiveness.
l we are adopting.	
	The consultant
	carrying out the
	work for action 8
	will make
	recommendations
	on what
	methodology should
	be adopted for
	future projects and
	how we can embed
	this into the
	organisation.
	organisation.
	Review complete
	and report attached
	New project
	<u>documentation and</u>
	methodology has
	been rolled out and
	with relevant
	guidance to the
	organisation.
	<u></u>
	A Programme
	Management Office
	has been recruited
	and will ensure
	proper project
	governance is in

					place across the organisation.	
14. Carry out a full and structured benefits realisation and lessons learned evaluation for the Digital Transformat ion Programme (Essential)	Prepare a benefits realisation document. The benefits should be compared to the costs of the project, alongside costed efficiencies to demonstrate good use of public money/value for money. Prepare a lessons learned evaluation	We can demonstrate what benefits we are getting from this work. We can make sure that any lessons from this programme are easily identified if we are carrying out future projects.	Head of P&I/Head of SS	Original timescale: 31 March 2019 Revised timescale: October 2019	Benefits realisation was reported to Council in October 2019 which identified lessons learned. We will continue to monitor progress of the continuing digital work against this plan.	Complete
15.Deploy a structured BPR process. (Essential)	Not feasible for us to carry out this year. There are other pressing priorities that we need to address. This year is about starting this off and then building the	This will allow us to make sure that the full opportunity of digital transformation is realised.	Director of S&P	In 2020/2021	Carried forward to 2020/2021	Low A separate paper to February Committee will address this point however this recommendation has been overtaken

	skills that we need going forward. LEAN training would assist with this. Put in place a change management programme.					by other pieces of work. An overview of internal process will be presented to Committee in September
16.Look at whether we need a dedicated project/ change managemen t resource in the operational managemen t structure of the SSSC	This is not something that we can accommodate. We would need a separate post for this. This might be something that the experienced programme manager can do.	It will allow us to handle change management in the most appropriate way.	Unable to complete due to funding		N/A	
General Gover	nance					
17.Do we have the right mix of skills for effective governance on Council?	Induction of Council members and ongoing development Need to recruit people with significant Audit	A well governed organisation, as a minimum, needs 3 things: • Right people with right skills acting courageously	Head of LCG	Complete October 2019	Revised arrangements were approved by Council in October 2019. We will continue to monitor and	Complete

and Risk experience to Council	 Right performance indicators being used properly 		improve on these arrangements.	
Need to recruit	Right processes and			
people with	procedures in place.			
financial	procedures in place.			
background	The outcome from the			
experience on	s22 has led to			
Council	suggestions that there			
Couricii	has not been effective			
Target these				
Target these	scrutiny and proactive			
through recruitment				
process	to oversee this project.			
T6	This has lad to someowns			
If unsuccessful,	This has led to concerns			
identify relevant	that we are not being			
people to co-opt -	well governed in other			
speak to CIPFA for	areas.			
some input				
	Important that we			
Create Induction	therefore make sure			
plan for existing	that our governance in			
Council members to	other areas cannot be			
improve these skills	called into question.			
	Poor governance may			
Create development	lead to:			
plan for Council				
members to make	Reputational damage			
sure they have	Greater external			
effective skills for	scrutiny			
scrutinising	Lower funding			
papers/information/	Redistribution of			
responses – regular	functions elsewhere			
meetings between				

	Head of LCG and Convener should take place for this purpose.	Possible closure (in extreme cases)				
information to Council – criticism that reports going to Council are too detailed or not properly focussed.	Review papers going to Council/Committees to find out: • Are we asking them to make the right decisions? • Are we providing the right information? • Are we presenting papers in the right way? Compare with historic sessions and other organisations. Look at the good governance frameworks to see how our papers match up	Council members need appropriate information before them to make the right decisions.	Head of LCG	Complete October 2019	As for point 17 above.	Complete

Relevant training			
for officers on what			
papers should			
contain			
Input from Council			
members on what			
information they			
need to scrutinise			
effectively and how			
this should be			
presented			
presented			
Need to be clear			
about which input			
needed within the			
organisation some			
observations:			
Papers go to			
Council without			
proper legal			
input			
Papers are often			
prepared late			
and do not get			
reviewed by EMT			
Is the approval			
process clearly			
articulated and			
understood?			
Is it too			
cumbersome?			

	How do we improve?				
19.Do we have the right processes in place to govern effectively?	Review and Update Standing Orders to reflect current needs for eg lack of mechanism to deal with urgent business formally for eg HCPC have specific provisions for dealing with urgent matters via email. Review and refresh the Scheme of Delegation – are the terms of reference appropriate for what we need? In particular we need to: Review terms of reference for each committee Are they necessary (Registration and Conduct Committees	Head of LCG	Original timescale: March 2020 Revised timescale: October 2019	As for point 17 above	Complete

need to be	
abolished)	
Audit Committee	
– needs	
reviewed in line	
with the Audit	
and Assurance	
Handbook 2018	
• Letters of	
appointment	
should be issued	
to each member	
of both	
committees	
setting out	
expectations for	
each.	
Regular	
meetings	
arranged	
between	
chairs/head of	
LCG and CE to	
discuss relevant	
committee and	
Council business	
Are the powers	
delegated under the	
scheme of	
delegation	
appropriate for the	
needs of the	
organisation?	
0. 90.11000.011	

Executive Framework document – needs reviewed and updated. It is not clear if this has been agreed with Sponsor.		
Financial regulations – review to make sure reflected in scheme of delegation.		
Internal Audit Plan – review this to make sure it is capturing key risks.		
Review our risk management processes and procedures. Not embedded within the organisation. No clear indication		
how operational and strategic risk are managed and recorded. Difficult to see how they		

interact. Review
these procedures to
make sure they are
effective
Develop assurance
map for the
organisation. This
should tie in with
the Internal Audit
Plan and clearly
show how we are
being assured that:
The average state
The organisation
is being well
governed
• That our
Internal and
External Audits
are focussing on
the right areas
That we are
managing risk
appropriately
That we are
monitoring
performance in
the right way
That we are
therefore
targeting
resources in the
right way.